

as recently amended, came into operation. If a large majority of the raiyats favoured the idea, it would be possible to make a suitable legislation, in which case, a reference would be made to this House again. At present, the Government only wanted to obtain the views of the members on the proposed measures.

The next item taken up was the answering of supplementary questions connected with replies to interpellations, copies of which had been distributed to the members on the previous day.

Interpellations.

By. MR. S. KRISHNASWAMI RAO (Mysore Rural).—

Q.—1. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(1) The number of Original Suits, Small Cause Cases, Miscellaneous Cases and Execution Cases filed during the year 1924-25 in the Sub-Court, Mysore.

(2) The number of Original, Small Cause, Miscellaneous and Execution Cases and also Appeals pending before the same court at the close of the year 1924-25.

(3) The total number of witnesses that had to be examined in the Original Suits during the year 1924-25, and the average number of witnesses examined per working day in the Original Suits during that year.

(4) The total amount of *batta* paid to witnesses during the said period in the cases adjourned for want of time.

(5) Average duration of contested Original Suits excepting those that were compromised after contest.

(6) The total net income to Government from this court after deducting all kinds of expenses including salaries.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, LOCAL AND LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENTS.—

A.—1. A statement giving the required information is placed on the table. (*Vide* Appendix I.)

Supplementary Questions.

Mr S. Krishnaswami Rao.—

* With reference to answers to Question 1 (1) and (2), will Government be pleased to state whether the work in the Sub-Court is not congested?

First Member of Council.—

That is not a supplementary question. The member may draw his own inference from the information furnished in the statement.

Mr. Abdul Nabi.—

It is clear from the statement that an income of Rs. 42,000 has been derived from the Sub-Court at Mysore. If so, cannot the Government open an additional court permanently out of all this income?

First Member of Council.—

That is a request and not an interpellation.

Mr. Abdul Nabi.—

Are Government going to open more courts?

First Member of Council.—

It is not possible to say what Government are going to do in future.

Mr. Paramasiviah.—

If more courts are opened, will the same income as in the Mysore Sub-Court be assured?

Dewan.—

That is a question based on another supplementary question and is not therefore quite in order. I wish the House to maintain a proper sense of proportion.

BY MR. MAHOMED SAIT (Mysore City Municipality).—

Q.—2. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

How many Sub-Division Officers failed to inspect Taluk Offices during the year 1924-25? What action do the Government intend taking against them? Will the Government name them?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, REVENUE DEPARTMENT.—

A.—2. It is reported that all the Taluk Offices were inspected by the Sub-Division Officers in charge.